**BPS-290** 

June 30, 2005

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

C.A. No. <u>05-3056</u>

IN RE: ROBERT B. OLDHAM

Present:

RENDELL, FISHER AND VAN ANTWERPEN, CIRCUIT JUDGES

Submitted are

- (1) Petitioner's application pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2244 to file a second or successive motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2254;
- Response; and (2)
- Reply to the Response, filed July 11, 2005 \*(3)

in the above-captioned case.

Respectfully,

Clerk

U. S. DISTRICT COURT - DE MISC. CASE # 05-142

MMW/AJM/dmm

ORDER

The foregoing application to file a second or successive petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 is denied. Applicant has not made a prima facie showing that any claim relies on a new rule of constitutional law made retroactive by the Supreme Court, nor does he present a newly-discovered fact which would be sufficient to establish by clear and convincing evidence that, but for the constitutional error, no reasonable factfinder would have found him guilty. See 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(2). Because the Applicant has failed to satisfy either standard for filing a second or successive § 2254 petition, his application is denied.

A TRUE COPY:

Kathleen Brouwer. Chief Deputy Clerk

Dated: July 18, 2005

DMM/cc: Mr. Robert B. Oldham Loren C. Meyers, Esq. By the Court,

/s/ Franklin S. Van Antwerpen

Circuit Judge